

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5782 of 1997

=====

Jagdish Prasad Tatma, Son of Late Akhik Tatma, Resident of Village-
Bishanpur Dutta, P.S.- Banmankhi, District-Purnea.

.... **Petitioner.**

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sub Divisional Officer, Banmankhi, District-Purnea.
3. Circle Officer, Banmankhi, District-Purnea.
4. Sri Mahamunga Devi, Wife of Ram Nath Singh.
5. Sri Biranchi Mandal, Son of Gobardhan Mandal.

Both residents of village and P.S.- Banmankhi, District- Purnea.

6. Mostt. Kaili Devi, Wife of Late Sant Lal Sharma.

.... **Respondents.**

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shobha Nath Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mr Shrinandan Prasad Singh, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar No.1, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

9 16-04-2015 No body appears on behalf of the petitioner in
spite of the repeated calls for hearing.

Mr. Shrinandan Singh, the learned counsel has
appeared for the respondent nos. 5, 6 and 7. Mr. Singh, the learned
counsel has pointed out that this writ application has already stood
dismissed for default as against respondent nos. 4 and 8. With
regard to respondent no.8, Mr. Singh, the learned counsel has also
pointed out that the writ application was already dismissed as
against the said respondent for non-compliance of the order dated

17.09.2013. It has also been submitted that the respondent nos.4 and 8 were necessary parties to the writ application but it does not appear from the record that any step on behalf of the petitioner has been taken for restoration of this writ application up-till-now.

In view of the aforesaid facts and submissions, proceeding further with this application, in absence of the respondent nos.4 and 8, may result in inconsistent of this order.

As such, this writ application is dismissed as incompetent.

(V. Nath, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--