

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13808 of 2011

=====

Naveen Kumar (Proprietor Mahesh Prasad Agarwati Nirman Udhyog, Jahanabad) son of Mahesh Prasad, resident of mohalla – Thana Road, (Jahanabad) P.S. – Jahanabad, District – Jahanabad.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner Magadh range Division, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Jahanabad.
4. The Labour Commissioner, Patna.
5. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Patna.
6. The S.D.M. cum Certificate Officer, Jahanabad, Patna.
7. The Labour Inspector, Jahanabad, district Jahanabad.
8. The Labour Enforcement Officer, Jahanabad.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Onkar Nath

For the Respondent/s : AC to GP - 23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2. 13-07-2015 Heard Sri Onkar Nath, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.C. to Govt. Pleader – 23.

The petitioner, invoking writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has prayed for quashing of notice issued under the Public Demand Recovery Act in case no. 8 of 2009-10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the notice, the petitioner has filed objection before the Sub-Divisional Officer-cum-Certification Officer, Jehanabad, however; on such objection, no final order has been passed and the matter is still pending.

The Court is of the opinion that at the moment, there is no reason to pass any positive order in favour of the petitioner. The petitioner may pursue the matter before the Sub-Divisional Officer-cum-Certificate Officer. The Certificate Officer may decide the matter as early as possible.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--