

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.307 of 2011

=====

Arjun Singh, S/o Late Nathuni Singh, Resident of Village- Vishnupura,
Police Station- Imadpur, District Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. Bihar State Cooperative Marketing Union, Through its Chairman,
(Biscomaun) Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
3. Chairman, Bihar State Cooperative Marketing Union, (Biscomaun)
Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
4. Managing Director, Bihar State Cooperative Marketing Union
(Biscomaun) Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate.
Mr. Rahul Nath, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Mithlesh Prasad Singh, A.C. to S.C. 23
Mr. Partha Sarthy, Advocate.
Mr. Utsav Kumar, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-03-2015 Learned counsel for the petitioner

states that from Paragraph 4 of the counter

affidavit filed on behalf of BISCOMAUN,

Respondent No. 2, duly affirmed by Sri Nagendra

Kumar Singh, Law Officer, it will appear that

retiral dues of the petitioner has been paid but he

has to verify the said statement as the counter

affidavit, though affirmed on 15.10.2014, has been

served on the counsel for the petitioner on

24.03.2015, filed in Court on 25.03.2015.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, I dispose of the writ petition in the light of the averments made in the aforesaid paragraph 4 of the counter affidavit observing that if the statement made in the counter affidavit is incorrect, petitioner shall be at liberty to file a short Interlocutory Application for recall of the present order, in that event not only the Law Officer of BISCOMAUN, who has affirmed the affidavit but also Managing Director of BISCOMAUN shall expose himself not only to the charge of per jury but also to the charge of contempt of this Court.

(V.N. Sinha, J)

P.K.P.

U		T	
---	--	---	--