

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.390 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19392 of 2012

- =====
1. Bhubneshwar Paswan son of late Sahdev Paswan resident of Village-Damaili, P.O Sanjha Ghaat, P.S. Mirganj, District- Purnea.
 2. Shankar Mahto son of Sri Ram Krishna Mahto resident of Line Bazar, Purnea , P.O+ P.S.khajanchi Hat , District- Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar the Principal Secretary, Human Resoruces Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna, Sri R.K. Mahajan.
2. Sri. R.K. Mahajan , Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department , Govt. of Bihar, Patna..
3. The Special Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt, of Bihar, Patna Rajendra Ram.
4. The Director, Primary Education Govt, of Bihar, Patna, Sri Sridharsi.
5. Dr. Chandraprakash Jha, The Regional Deputy Director of Education , Purnia Division, Purnia
6. Md. Haroon, The District Education officer, Purnea, District- Purnia.
7. Sri Mithelesh Kumar, The District Programme Officer (Establishment) , Purnia, District- Purnia.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. AAG10 P. N. Sahi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-10-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

This application has been filed for initiation of
contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for
violation of order dated 10.3.2014 passed in C.W.J.C.
No.19392 of 2012.

This Court while disposing of the writ petition has

directed the Principal Secretary, Education Department to decide the matter within six months from the date of receipt/communication of the order.

In pursuance of the aforesaid order the Principal Secretary vide Memo No.485 dated 2.6.2015 considered the matter and disposed of the same.

In such view of the matter, this Court feels that Principal Secretary has complied the order passed by this Court and there is no need to proceed with the matter.

In the present contempt proceeding, this Court can not examine the merit of the case. The petitioner, if feels aggrieved, he may approach before the appropriate forum. It goes without saying that the petitioner, if so advised, may file representation.

Accordingly this application is dismissed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--