

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8916 of 2014

=====

Nabiul Fatima Women's Teachers Training College, Bahera, Darbhanga, through its Secretary, S.M. Naiyer Imam, Son of Late S.M. Zareef, Resident of Village Bahera, P.S. Benipur, District Darbhanga. At present resident of 101 'B' High Tech Apartment, Road No.3, New Patliputra Colony, P.S. Patliputra, District Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. National Council For Teachers Education, (NCTE) through its Member-Secretary, Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002.
2. The Chairperson/Vice-Chairperson, NCTE, Hans Bhawan, Wing-II, 1, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002.
3. The Eastern Regional Committee (ERC/NCTE) through the Regional Director, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubneshwar 751012.
4. The Member Secretary, ERC/NCTE, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubneshwar 751012.
5. The Chairperson ERC/NCTE, 15, Neel Kanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubneshwar 751012.
6. The Regional Director, ERC/NCTE, 15, Neel Kanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubneshwar 751012.
7. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, through its Registrar, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
8. The Vice-Chancellor, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. R.S. Pradhan, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Suman Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Suresh Chandra Giri, Advocate
For N.C.T.E.	:	Mr. S.N. Pathak, Advocate
For L.N. M. Uni.	:	Mr. Ajay Bihari Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-10-2015

Petitioner is looking for recognition for B.Ed. course from National Council for Teachers Education, New Delhi.

Counsel representing the respondent Council informs the Court that the entire process and procedure has undergone a change in the year 2014. The earlier submission and inspection of the year 2010

has lost its meaning. However, if the petitioner applies afresh in terms of the new guidelines and regulation, the authorities will surely take a decision within a reasonable time frame.

Writ is disposed of. Let the petitioner apply afresh in terms of the new regulation. The respondents will be duty bound to take a decision within a reasonable time frame so that before the next session begins, the petitioner knows the status in clear terms.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--