

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22032 of 2014

=====

M/s Krishna Saw Mills, through Proprietor, Binay Kumar S/o Ramasish Sao
R/o village + PO + PS - Pipra Kothi, District - East Champaran, Motihari.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Forest Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of the Forest, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Conservator of the Forest, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
5. The Conservator of Forest, Siwan.
6. The Divisional Forest Officer, Motihari, Forest Division cum Licensing Officer, Motihari.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Aag5- P.K. Verma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

9 12-10-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri

P.K.Verma, learned Addl. Advocate General no.5.

In this case, the petitioner is aggrieved in respect of

non-inclusion of his Saw Mill in the merit list.

Learned State Counsel submits that for such

grievances, remedy is available to the petitioner to file an appeal

before the District Judge.

In view of the fact that there is already remedy

available to the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of

granting liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy of appeal before

the District Judge. It goes without saying that if the petitioner

approaches the District Judge by way of filing appeal, his appeal may not be rejected on the ground of limitation, as the petitioner had approached this Court and the matter is pending before this Court.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--