

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.713 of 2010

=====

Mohammad Constructions,5-B-18, Vigyan Nagar, Kota-324005(Rajasthan)
through its Shri Attorney Shankar Prasad S/O Late Yamuna Prasad R/O
Moh Lagan Market,Gandhi Chowk, P.S.Kotwali, Distt-Munger

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Railways
Government of India,Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Railway Board through its Chairman Railway Bhawan, New Delhi
3. The General Manager East Central Railway, Hajipur
4. The Chief Administrative Officer (Construction) East Central Railway,
Mahendru Ghat, Patna
5. The Chief Manager (Com), East Central Railway, Mahendru Ghat,Patna
6. The Deputy Chief Engineer, Construction-1 East Central Railway,
Hajipur
7. The Chief Engineer (Civil), Rail Coach Factory Kapurthala(Punjab)-
Cum-Presiding Arbitrator
8. CPM/DFCCIL/ Kanpur(Co-Arbitrator)
9. Senior DFM DRM Office, Danapur(Co-Arbitrator)

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent No.1 to 6 : Mr. Bindhyachal Singh
Mr. Parijay Saurav
Mr. Manish Prakash

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 6 is present and has raised the question of maintainability of the present writ petition.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, it is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--