

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.47640 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -96 Year- 2015 Thana -RAMGARH District- BHABHUA (KAIMUR)

=====

Satyendra Singh S/o Late Ram Awatar Singh resident of Village - Baraura,
P.S. - Ramgarh, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Pramod Kumar Pathak, District Magistrate, Bihar State Food Corporation
Ltd., Kaimur.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. D.P.Tiwary, A.P.P.

Mr. Amrendra Narayan, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 15-10-2015 Heard Sri Krishna Prasad, learned Senior Counsel,

who was assisted by Sri Bhaskar Shankar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Sri D.P.Tiwary, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor and Sri

Amrendra Narayan, learned counsel, who has appeared on behalf

of Opp.Party no.2.

The petitioner, apprehending his arrest in connection
with Ramgarh P.S. Case no.96/2015, registered for the offence
under Sections 420, 409 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed for
grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a miller. He submits that in similar type of cases,
anticipatory bail has been granted to such type of accused on

deposit of 20 % of the misappropriated amount. On this very ground, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

This Court, in number of cases, even after noticing the fact that other accused on deposit of 20 % misappropriated amount have been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail, has rejected the prayer for anticipatory bail. In the present case, total misappropriated amount is more than two crores rupees.

Keeping in view nature of accusation and fact disclosed in the F.I.R. I do not find any ground for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--