

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.37988 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1420 Year- 2013 Thana -KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE District-
KATIHAR

=====

1. Md. Anarul S/o Md. Mohsin Ali Resident of Village- Maheshwa, P.S.-
Rahtara, District- Katihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Tahera Khatoon W/o Md. Anarul, D/o Md. Zamal, Resident of Village-
Maheshwa, P.S.- Rautura, District- Katihar, At Present resident of village-
Sandalpur, P.S.- Korha, District- Katihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Musowir, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

3 13-04-2015 The petitioner figured as accused in C.A. Case
No.1420/2013, registered on the basis of a complaint
submitted by the wife of the petitioner alleging offences
including one punishable under Section-498A of I.P.C.
Apprehending arrest, the petitioner filed A.B.P.
No.222/2014 in the court of learned Sessions Judge,
Katihar. The learned Judge rejected the application
through order dated 13.8.2014. Hence, this application
for grant of anticipatory bail under Section-438 of Cr.P.C.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

Whether one goes by the nature of allegations

or the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the recent past, the arrest of a person who figured as accused in a case wherein offences punishable under Section-498A of I.P.C. is alleged, is not at all warranted.

Therefore, the application is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail upon furnishing the bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) along with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M., Katihar, District- Katihar, in connection with C.A. Case No.1420/2013, subject to the conditions that:-

(a) if any arrangement has been ordered by this Court on earlier occasion, it shall be in force till the disposal of the case before the trial court;

(b) the petitioner shall be under obligation to live with and maintain his wife, if she is otherwise willing; and

(c) disposal of this application shall be without prejudice to the proceedings for mediation, if any.

(L. Narasimha Reddy,CJ)

K.C.jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--