

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9643 of 2011

- =====
1. Hafiz Mian S/O Late Rasul Mian R/O Vill.- Banket Puraina, P.S.- Chanpatiya, Distt.- West Champaran (Bettiah)
 2. Md. Husnain Ansari S/O Mahmud Alam R/O Vill.- Banket Puraina, P.S.- Chanpatiya, Distt.- West Champaran (Bettiah)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary Of The State Government Of Bihar Patna, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Secretary Of Co-Operative Society Of Co-Operative Department Department Of Bihar
3. The Registrar Of Co-Operative Society, Government Of Bihar
4. The Special Secretary Of Co-Operative Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna
5. The District Registrar-Cum-Administrator Of National Central Co-Operative Bank, Bettiah
6. The District Co-Operative Officer, West Champaran
7. The Managing Director Of National Central Co-Operative Bank Of Bettiah, West Champaran
8. The Regional Manager Of Agriculture Insurance Company Of India Limited Third Floor, Grand Plaza, Near Dak Bungalow Crossing, Fraser Road, Patna
9. The Chairman Of Kharg Pokhariya PACS Ltd.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Parth Sharthi SC-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

5 07-09-2015

No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.

Mr. R.C.L. Das for the Agriculture Insurance Company (for short 'the Insurance Company') and Mr. P. K. Verma for the Managing Director, National Central Cooperative Bank of Battiah (respondent no.7) are present.

Heard.

The application has been filed for payment of the insured

amount pertaining to the Rabi and Kharip crops for the year 2008-09.

Mr. Das states that the admissible amount has already been deposited/credited with the respondent-Cooperative Bank for payment to the eligible claimant(s).

Mr. Verma, on the other hand, states that the payments have been disbursed in favour of petitioner no.2(Md. Husnain Ansari). Insofar as petitioner no.1 (Hafiz Mian) is concerned, there was discrepancy in the name and address of the father of petitioner no.1. The Bank ascertained the correct address and the amount was disbursed to the petitioner no.1. On the strength of the aforesaid submissions, it has been submitted by both the counsel(s) that the writ application has become infructuous.

Non appearance of the counsel for the petitioners is indicative of the fact that they have lost interest in pursuing the case.

Resultantly, the application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--