

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.42062 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -501 Year- 2014 Thana -SITAMARHI District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Navin Kumar Sharma @ Navin Sharma Son of Surendra Sharma R/o
Mohalla Pankha Toli, P.O. Ramana, P.S. Kajimohammedpur, District
Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M.Dayal(APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-12-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner prays for anticipatory bail in a
case under Sections 420, 120B, 406 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is only an employee of the company and thus no
amount has been received by him personally rather whatever
amount have been deposited, were with the company. It is also
submitted that the informant is also an employee of the company
and his wife was an agent of the company and only to save her
the petitioner has been falsely implicated.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on the

other hand, submits that it has clearly come out in the course of investigation that the petitioner is one of the main persons involved in collecting the funds from the local people by convincing them to deposit their money and it is further submitted that the informant is also the person who has deposited various receipts showing that the company received huge amount which has not been repaid.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court does not consider it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The prayer for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, rejected.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

S.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--