

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13000 of 2015**

- =====
1. R.P. Kiran, son of late L.B. Rajak, resident of Mohalla- Dhirachak, Anisabad, P.S.- Anisabad, District- Patna.
  2. Rajiv Deo Ranjan, son of Dr. Ram Dev Mahto, resident of Kolhua Paigamberpur Binriya, P.S.- Sadar Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
  3. Rajeev Ranjan Kumar, son of Dr. Hazari Lal, resident of Mohalla- Professor colony, Aghoria Bazar Chowk, P.S.- Kazi Mohammadpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
  4. Manoranjan Kumar Sinha, son of Dr. M.P. Sinha, resident of Shyama Bhawan near Sonali Petrol Pump, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna.
  5. Jagdish Kumar, son of Late Dasrath Yadav, resident of Krishna Viha Colony, Yadav Nagar, Bhagwanpur, P.S. Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur.
  6. Rajeev Ranjan, son of Prof. Ram Iqbal Singh, resident of Aghoria Chowk, P.S. Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
  7. Santosh Kumar, son of Late Vijay Sharma, resident of House no. F – 68, Road no.1, A.B. Colony, Bhoothnath Road P.S. Agam kuan, District- Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Science and Technology, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar, Patna. The State of Bihar and others

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvan Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Phulendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, AC to PAAG.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI**  
**SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE )

2      15-09-2015                      Heard Mr. Sarvan Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Lalit Kishore, learned senior Counsel, appearing on behalf of B.P.S.C. Heard also Mr. Ranjit Kumar,

learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the State respondent.

Since the application has become infructuous, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this petition.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioners.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the writ petition is hereby disposed of as withdrawn.

**(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)**

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)**

K.C.jha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|