

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19 of 2014

=====

1. Madan Das Son of Late Jagan Das Resident Of Vilage - Gajragarh, P.S. - Barachatgti, District - Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Director General of Police, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. Inspector General of Police, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. Deputy Inspector General of Police Magadh Division, Gaya
5. District Magistrate, Gaya
6. Superintendent of Police, Gaya
7. Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Sherghati, Dist. - Gaya
8. Officer- In - Charge Sc/St Police Station, Gaya
9. Assistant Sub Inspector of Police, Investigation Office, Sc/St Police Station - Gaya
10. Saheb Pandey Son of Late Chando Pandey Resident of Village - Pratap Gajragarh, Anchal And P.S. Barachatti, District - Gaya
11. Taj Mani Devi W/O Saheb Pandey Resident of Village - Pratap Gajragarh, Anchal and P.S. Barachatti, District - Gaya
12. Kaila Pandey Son Of Saheb Pandey Resident of Village - Pratap Gajragarh, Anchal And P.S. Barachatti, District - Gaya
13. Pappu Pandey Son of Saheb Pandey Resident of Village - Pratap Gajragarh, Anchal and P.S. Barachatti, District - Gaya
14. Mina Devi W/O Pappu Pandey Resident of Village - Pratap Gajragarh, Anchal and P.S. Barachatti, District - Gaya

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nikhilesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, A.C. to SC-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2015 The petitioner is the informant of SC & ST Gaya P.S.Case No. 44 of 2012 registered for the offences punishable under sections 341, 323, 504, 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 3(1)(iv),(v) and (x) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

By filing the present application under Articles 226

and 227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made to direct the official respondents to complete the investigation of the aforesaid police case and submit charge sheet against respondent nos.10 to 14.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no.6. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the police have already concluded the investigation into the matter and submitted charge sheet against all the F.I.R. named accused persons.

Since the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed, the application has become infructuous.

In that view of the matter, the application is disposed of as infructuous.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Pradeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--