

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17882 of 2014

=====

1. Dr. Sanjay Kumar S/o Shri Chandeshwar Singh, R/o Jaiprakash Nagar (A.G. Colony), P.S. - Shashtrinagar, District – Patna, Presently resident of C/o Awadhesh Kishore, Near Janta Medical Hall, Sadha Mathia, P.S. - Mufassil, District - Chhapra

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Controller of Examinations, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Under Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Principal Secretary, Department of Science and Tecnology, Government of Bihar, Patna
6. The Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, I.A.S., Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna through its Controller of Examinations
7. The Controller of Examinations, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board
8. The Medical Council of India, New Delhi, through its Secretary
9. Patna Medical College, Patna, through its Registrar
10. Nalanda Medical College, Patna, through its Registrar
11. Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Raja Bazar, Patna, through its Registrar
12. Darbhanga Medical College, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, through its Registrar
13. Shri Krishna Medical College, Muzaffarpur, through its Registrar
14. Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur, through its Registrar
15. Anugrah Narain Medical College, Gaya, through its Registrar
16. Government of Medical College, Bettiah, through its Registrar
17. V.I.M.S. Pawapuri, through its Registrar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Respondent Nos.1,2,3,4 and 5 : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 11-09-2015

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Heard Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, learned counsel for the

respondent Nos. 1,2,3,4 and 5, and Mr. Sunil Kr. Singh, learned counsel for the respondent No. 11. Also heard Mr. Vikas Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent No. 7, and Mr. Kumar Brijnandan, learned counsel for the respondent No.8.

It is submitted by learned counsel that the writ application has become infructuous and may, therefore, be disposed of accordingly.

To the submissions so made, no objection has been made on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the appeal stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--