

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.8234 of 2011

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -0 Year- null Thana -null District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. Manoj Kumar Rai, S/O Rajendra Rai, resident of village- Karhari, P.S- Natwar, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Bijendra Singh, S/O Late Niranjana Singh, resident of village- Baluahi, P.S- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sada Nand Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar No.2, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA PRAKASH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-09-2015

The Petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 08.07.2009 passed by the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas at Sasaram, in Bikramganj P.S. Case No.148 of 2006 by which the Court has directed the office to send the entire records to Record Room as the matter has already been decided by the *Mobile Lok Adalat*, Bikramganj.

The case of the Petitioner is that he had instituted a case against the accused persons for having acted in a highhanded manner. Previously also he had instituted an Informatory Petition against the Opposite Party No.2.

Submission of the Petitioner is that no information was

give to him that the matter was sent to *Lok Adalat* and the dispute was set to rest by an Award given by it. He then appealed to *Lok Adalat* which rejected the application.

The case of the Informant is that on the date of occurrence the accused persons came to his shop and forcibly made him to sign on some stamp papers giving certain dates. He apprehended that document(s) would be used for some illegal purpose. He further states that the accused used to stay near his house and always used to threaten him to vacate the house failing which dire consequences would ensue. It is on this that the present Complaint was filed.

Having gone through the facts of the Complaint Petition, I am unable to understand as to what offence is made out in the facts of the case.

Hence, the application is **dismissed**.

(Anjana Prakash, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--