

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Appeal No.559 of 2012

=====

1. Sangeeta Kumari D/O Ashok Kumar Presently Residing At Nawada,
Mohalla Rajendra Nagar, P.S. Nawada, District Nawada.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. Rajesh Kumar S/O Ragho Sao Resident Of Mohalla Rajendra Nagar,
P.S. And P.O. Nawada, District Nawada. ---- Plaintiff—Respondent Ist Set.
2. Ragho Sao S/O Late Karoo Sao
3. Ashok Kumar
4. Lalit Kumar
5. Naresh Kumar,
6. Binod Kumar, 3 to 6 are sons of Ragho Sao, all resident of Mohalla-
Rajendra Nagar Nawada, P.S. and P.O. Nawada, District Nawada.
7. Sumitra Devi, wife of late Sachchida Nand Prasad and daughter of Ragho
Sao, resident of Mohalla-Par Nawada Gaya Road, Nawada, P.S. and P.O.
Nawada, District Nawada
8. Shakuntala Devi, wife of late Sachchida Nand Prasad and daughter of
Ragho Sao, resident of Mohalla-Par Nawada Gaya Road, Nawada, P.S. and
P.O. Nawada, District Nawada
9. Manju Devi, wife of late Jagdeesh Sao and daughter of Ragho Sao,
resident of Mauja Badhahara, P.S. Halsee, P.O. Bartara, District Lakhisarai
---(Defendants) –Respondents Second Set.

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Shri Nandan Pd. Singh,
Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocates.
For Respondent 1 to 6 : Mr. Rajendra Narain, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Anju Narain,
Mr. Umesh Kumar Roy, Advocates.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

8 13-05-2015 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
counsel for the respondents.

In the present appeal the appellant is challenging the
order dated 26th July, 2012 passed by Sub Judge-III, Nawada
in Partition Suit No.53 of 2011/115 of 2011 by which he has
refused to grant injunction which is subject matter of this

appeal.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parties have led their evidences and it is at the final stage for argument.

As has been submitted by the respondents, the trial is at the verge of conclusion and no purpose would be served to decide the question of injunction at this stage.

This Court is not inclined to interfere with the matter. Accordingly this appeal is disposed of with a direction to the court below to conclude the trial within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--