

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9767 of 2015

1. Farheen Akhtar D/o Sri Akhtar Husain Ansari R/o at G - 121, P.C. Colony Kankarbagh, P.S. Kankarbagh Patna District - Patna, Bihar.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Science and Technology Department, Government of Bihar, Technology Bhawan, Patna.
2. The Director Science and Technology Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Exam Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Exam Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna.
5. The Examination Controller, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Exam Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna.

.... .... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Jubair Ansari  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sc31- P.S. Sahay

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR  
TRIPATHI  
ORAL ORDER

3      13-08-2015                      If the petitioner did not follow the important instructions, which is evident on perusal of Annexure-A, then the respondent authorities can not be blamed for non evaluation of the answer sheet of the petitioner for the Physics paper. The reason is obvious from perusal of Annexure- A.

Not only this Court, but many Benches have also refused to interfere where ever full compliance of the instructions have not been carried by an examinee.

In view of the above, the reason for non evaluation

of the physics paper of the petitioner is attributable to the petitioner.

The writ petition has no merit, therefore, dismissed.

**(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|