

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9098 of 2015

- =====
1. Satyendra Prasad Singh son of Sri Rajrshwari Prasad Singh
 2. Ramsharan Prasad Singh Son of Sri Rajeshwari Prasad Singh
 3. Kavindra Kumar son of Sri Ramashish Singh
 4. Rudra Kumar Son of Sri Ramrakchha Prasad Singh
 5. Malti Devi wife of Sri Ramrakchha Prasad Singh
 6. Nityanand Sarashwati son fo Sri Jitendra Kumar
 7. Shambhu Sharan Siingh son of Late Ramlakhan Prasad Singh
 8. Prabhat Kumar son of Late Kapildeo Narayan Singh
 9. Gauri Shankar Prasad son of Nawal Kishore Prasad Singh
 10. Jitendra Kumar son of Late Sant Kumar Singh
 11. Sujeet Kumar son of Late Ramudit Prasad Singh
 12. Prem Kumar son of Late Shyam Kishore Prasad Singh All are resident of village + P.O.- Mirganj, Village Panchayat- Punawan, Police Station- Wazirganj, District- Gaya.
 13. Jayant Kumar son of Sri Subodh Singh resident - Malkiya, Panchayat - Vishunpur, Police Station- Wazirganj, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Food & Consumer Protection Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. 5th Floor, Sone Bhawan, Patna
3. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplied Corporation Ltd. , District Office Gaya at Gaya.
4. The District Manager, Gaya
5. The Circle Officer, Wazirganj, District- Gaya.
6. The Block Agriculture Officer cum in charge Paddy Procurement Centre, Wazirganj, District- Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	: Mr. Amarnath Singh Mr. Binay Kumar
For the Corporation	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh
For the State	Mr. Arvind Kr. No.1 S.C. 11 Ms Ratna Kumari AC to S.C 13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

3 17-07-2015 Heard Mr. Amarnath Singh for the petitioners and Mr. Shailendra Kumar for the Corporation.

The petitioners are farmers who have supplied paddy to

the respondent Corporation (respondent no.3) under the Procurement Action Plan of the State Government for the year 2014-15. Their grievance is that they have not been paid their legal dues by the respondent Corporation.

Counsel for the Corporation on going through the pleadings in the writ petition particularly Annexures 2 and 3 agreed for disposal of the writ petition by directing the District Manager of the respondent Corporation to pay the admissible dues to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the District Manager (respondent no.3) to pay the legal dues of the petitioners within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of this order failing which the petitioners shall also be entitled to cost in the sum of Rs. 4000/- each along with the dues which the respondent Corporation shall be obliged to pay to them. In that case, the Authority of the respondent Corporation shall be entitled to realize the sum incurred on payment of cost from the erring official(s).

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--