

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.536 of 2014

In

C. REV. 92 of 2012

=====

1. The State of Bihar, through It's Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat Building,
Patna, Bihar

2. The Secretary to the Government in the Department of Water Resources
Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna

3. The Director Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation-cum-Dy. Secretary of
Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna

.... Appellants

Versus

1. Narayan Kumar S/O Late Suresh Kumar Resident of Village and P.O.-
Karnpur, P.S. Supaul, District- Supaul

2. Krishna Kant Jha S/O Sri Ram Chandra Jha Resident of Village + P.O.
Sukhpur, P.S. Supaul, District- Supaul

3. Ramjee Mahto S/O Late Jangal Mahto Resident of Village and P.O.
Karnpur, P.S. Supaul, District- Supaul

4. Siyaram Choudhary S/O Sri Mahabir Choudhary Resident of Village-
Nariar Bhengha, P.O. Nariar, P.S. And District- Saharsa

5. Ravindra Narayan Thakur S/O Late Upendra Narayan Thakur Resident of
Village and P.O. Chainpur, P.S. Bangaon, District- Saharsa

6. Vinay Kumar Jha S/O Late Chanda Jha Resident of Village and P.O.
Chainpur, P.S. Bangaon, District- Saharsa

7. Shyam Sundar Jha S/O Late Bhola Jha Resident of Village and P.O.-
Lagwan, P.S. Sonbarsa, District- Saharsa

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellants : Mr. Ujjwal Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, Senior Advocate

Mr. Prafull Chandra Thakur, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI)

16 28-01-2015

Heard Mr. Ujjwal Kumar Sinha, learned counsel,
appearing for the appellants, and Mr. Anil Kumar Jha,
learned Senior Counsel, appearing for the respondents.

It has been submitted, on behalf of the
appellants, that in terms of the directions given by the order

under appeal, which was passed, on 07.03.2012, in Civil Review No.92 of 2012, by a learned single Judge of this Court, the appellants considered the cases of the respondents for their regularization and, upon consideration of the cases of the respondents, their prayers have been rejected by order, dated 29.08.2013.

In view of the above, this Letters Patent Appeal, which was filed in the year 2014, has, admittedly, become infructuous and shall stand dismissed accordingly.

(I. A. Ansari, J)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--