

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9016 of 2015

=====

1. Shankar Prasad Gupta Son of Late Shyama Shah, resident of Mohalla-
Rastriya Ganj, P.O. & P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Union of India through its Secretary, Ministry of Health & Family,
Welfare Department, New Delhi
2. The Director, All India Institute of Medical Sciences Phulwarisharif,
Patna
3. Medical Superintendent, All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
Medical College Building, Phulwarisharif, Patna
4. Administrative Officer, AIIMS, Patna
5. Deputy Director, AIIMS, Patna
6. Drug Controller, Govt. of India, Ministry of Health Family Welfare
Department, Govt. of India
7. Central Vigilance Committee, Government of India
8. The State of Bihar through its Secretary, Dept. of Health and Family
Welfare Department, Patna, Bihar
9. Civil Surgeon, P.M.C.H., Patna, Bihar
10. Vigilance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
11. Bihar State Drug Controller, Bihar, Patna
12. Drug Inspector, Patna, District Patna
13. R.L.O.A. (Regional Licensing Authority), Rural, N.M.C.H., Patna
14. Medical Place (Generic), AIIMS, Patna
15. Shree Umiya Enterprises (for ethical), AIIMS, Patna
16. Aquil Hayat Khan President, Chemist and Druggist Association
resident of Mohalla Makhdoom Rasti Nagar P.O. & P.S. Phulwarisarif,
Patna District Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Shahnawaz Ali

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Aag10- P.N.Shahi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 31-08-2015 Heard Md. Shahnawaz Ali, learned Counsel,

appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Amiya Kumar,

learned Assistant Counsel to Additional Advocate General No. 10,

appearing on behalf of State-respondents. Heard also Mr. Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the AIIMS, Patna.

After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to make appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Mkr./-

U			
---	--	--	--