




IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.37050 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -7 Year- 2014 Thana -ECONOMIC OFFENCES, BIHAR District-
PATNA

- =====
1. Siyaram Singh S/o Sahajanand Singh Yadav Resident of Village Hiranpur, P.S. Bihta, District Patna.
 2. Doctor @ Madhukar Kumar
 3. Raju @ Rajiv Ranjan Both S/o Upendra Kumar Resident of Village Painapur, P.S. Bikram, District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Goutam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyavrat Verma(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as

learned counsel for the State.

In this application for anticipatory bail the petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences punishable under sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 472, 473 and 120(B)/34 of the I.P.C and section 66 (C) and 66 (D) of the Economic Offences Act.

On receiving information that the petitioner Siyaram Singh and other co-accused in collusion and conspiracy with others and also in connivance with scholars and owner of Navrang Studio, Bihta are indulged to get ITI trained young people



appeared in different examinations conducted by the Railway Recruitment Board a raid was conducted. The petitioners are alleged to be the members of the gang and the petitioners were managing the candidates for the purposes from whom huge consideration money was used to be taken. It has been revealed that at the instruction of the petitioners Siyaram Singh, Doctor @ Madhukar Kumar and Raju @ Rajiv Ranjan photos of scholars were mixed and they are doing these works off and on.

Submission is of false implication and further that in this case co-accused Anuj Kumar @ Jhandu and Tanuj Kumar @ Mantu have been allowed regular bail by this Court. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedents and they have been implicated only on the basis of statement of a co-accused and as such these petitioners deserve sympathetic consideration, to which the learned A.P.P. opposes.

Considering the allegation attributed against the petitioners this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners and accordingly their such prayer stands rejected in connection with A.C.J.M. Danapur in Economic Offence Case No. 07 of 2014.

However, in case and if so advised the petitioners surrender and seek regular bail then their prayer for regular bail

shall be considered on its own merits without being prejudiced by
the order of this Court.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

