

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29396 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -465 Year- 2013 Thana -COMPLAINT CASE District- SUPAUL

=====

1. MD. AFTAB @ MD. ALAM Son of Md. Ilahit resident of Village-
hanuman Nagar P.s Raghopur District Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mehrun Khatun wife of Md. Aftab Alam resident of Village Hanuman

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Vivek
For the Opposite Party/s Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastrijee(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

02 31.07.2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner happens to be husband of the complainant and
submission on behalf of the petitioner is that he is ready to keep the
complainant with full honour and dignity but, as a matter of fact, it is
the complainant who does not want to lead her conjugal life with the
petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the
case, this anticipatory bail stands disposed of with direction to
petitioner to surrender before the Sub divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Birpur/ concerned court in connection with Complaint case no.
465C/2013 within four weeks from the date of receipt /production of
a copy of this order and seek regular bail and if petitioner does so,
the concerned court shall release the petitioner on provisional bail on

the day of his surrender for a period of four months on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to his satisfaction.

Furthermore, after being released the petitioner on provisional bail, the concerned court shall issue notice to the petitioner as well as complainant fixing a date for reconciliation and shall take all possible steps to patch up the dispute of the parties and in the above stated attempt, if the concerned court succeeds to patch up the dispute of the parties, the concerned court shall pass order for confirmation of bail of the petitioner but if the concerned court fails due to rigid approach of the petitioner, then, in that event, provisional bail of the petitioner will not be confirmed by the court below and in that event, petitioner shall be taken into custody and his regular bail application shall be decided by the concerned court on its own merit.

It goes without saying that if the aforesaid effort fails on account of rigid and non-cooperative approach of the complainant, the provisional bail of the petitioner shall be confirmed by the court below itself.

Shahid

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J.)

U		T	
---	--	---	--