

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17472 of 2014

=====

Daya Shankar Prasad, Son of Late Ramchandra Prasad, Resident of 18, Emerson Road, Police Station + P.O.- Danapur, District- Patna, Practicing Advocate at Patna High Court, Chamber No. 65, Bihar Bar Council Bhawan, Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Governor of Bihar (through the Principal Secretary), Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of General Administration, Govt. of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna
4. The Bihar Public Service Commission, through its Secretary, 15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna- 800001
5. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, through its Secretary, 15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna- 800001

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore, P. A. A. G.

Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
And
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 09-09-2015

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

The petitioner's grievance is that combined competitive examination is clubbed together, for many years, by the Bihar Public Service Commission and this causes anxiety and harassment to the persons, who are eligible to appear in such examinations, but are unable to do so, because of the fact that the examinations do not take place in time.

Earlier also, almost a similar issue was raised by one Sanjay Kumar Verma by filing a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the writ petition having given rise to CWJC No.7122 of 2012.

In the writ petition, namely, CWJC No.7122 of 2012, Mr. Daya Shankar Prasad, learned Counsel, represented the petitioner Sanjay Kumar Verma and the present writ petition has been filed by Daya Shankar Prasad himself as petitioner.

Be that as it may, by order, dated 10.01.2013, CWJC No.7122 of 2012 was disposed of by making certain observations. We do not find any reason to take a view different from what had been taken by this Court, while making the order, dated 10.01.2013, aforementioned and no further order is, therefore, called for.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ petition is hereby closed.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--