

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.351 of 2014

=====

1. Raj Narayan Rai Son of Shri Yogendra Rai Resident of Village - Ganj Masuriya, P.O. Bhatha, Police Station - Maker, District - Saran (Chapra)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Chapra
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division at Chapra
5. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra
6. The District Arms Officer, Saran at Chapra
7. The Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra
8. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Chapra, District - Saran
9. Sub Divisional Police Officer, Marhowrah, District Saran
10. The Circle Officer, Maker, District - Saran
11. The Station House Officer, Maker Police Station , District - Saran

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhukar Krishna Sinha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2015 Heard Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The limited grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that his application for grant of arms licence under the Arms Act, 1959 and the rules framed thereunder remains pending for necessary orders before the Licensing Authority-cum-District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.

Mr. Mishra learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although such an application was filed by the petitioner as

back as in the year 2011 and has been duly recommended by the statutory authorities, yet no final orders has been passed and hence this writ petition.

Having heard learned counsel or the parties and taking note of the nature of grievance, this writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra-cum Licensing Authority under the Arms Act to consider the prayer of the petitioner and dispose of the same in accordance with law and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner expeditiously and preferably within three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--