

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1891 of 1997

- =====
1. Lalan Mandal , son of Late Ramadhin Mandal
 2. Kaleshwar Mandal, son of Sant Lal Mandal
 3. Umesh Prasad Niraj, son of Shivnarayan Mandal
 4. Jagatlal Mandal, son of Gorey Lal Mandal
 5. Charan Mandal, son of Late Chamaru Mandal
- No.1 and 4 residents of village- Arajpur, Amanti Tola, and others of
Sadhu Tola, P.S. and Anchal- Chousa, District- Madhepura

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Madhepura, District-Madhepura
3. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector (L.R.D.C.), Udakishanganj,
District- Madhepura
4. The Anchala Adhikari, Chousa, District- Madhepura
5. Kamleshwari Chaudhary, son of Late Banarsai Choudhary
6. Upendra Chaudhary, son of Late Shivnarayan Chaudhary
Both no. 5 and 6 resident of village- Dholbaja, P.O. & P.S. Dholbaja
Bazar, District- Bhagalpur
7. Jitendra Chaudhary
8. Maheshwar Chaudhary, both sons of Late Hargovind Chaudhary
9. Mahesh Chaudhary
10. Jagmohan Chaudhary
11. Nanhku Chaudhary
12. Polo Chaudhary, all sons of Late Asharfi Chaudhary
13. Mahendra Chaudhary
14. Shashidhar Chaudhary
15. Bhola Chaudhary, all sons of Late Ramji Chaudhary
16. Bishun Mandal, son of Late Kishun Mandal
17. Asharfi Mandal, son of Late Bhojal Mandal
18. Sukhadeo Mandal
19. Kamleshwari Mandal, both sons of Late Supahi Mandal,
Sl. No.7 to 12 of village and P.S. Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur
Sl.no.13 to 15 of village- Dholbaja, P.S. Dholbaja, District- Bhagalpur,
Sl.No.16 to 19 are resident of village- Amanti Tola, Arajpur, P.S.
Chausa, District- Madhepura

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shekhar Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. (Gp2)
Mr. Mahendra Prasad Bhartee
Mr. Nand Kishor Preasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

15 29-06-2015

On 14.05.2015, it was informed by learned counsel for the private Respondents that the petitioners have preferred an appeal under Section 106 of the B.T. Act and at the same time, they have filed the writ application. Thereafter, the case was directed to be listed under the heading "For Orders". On the last date i.e. 23.06.2015, on the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the case was got adjourned. Today again same prayer is being made.

The prayer for adjourning the case stands refused.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the Respondents in the order dated 14.05.2015 that the petitioners have already preferred an appeal under Section 106 of the B.T. Act, there is no need to keep the matter pending.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

If so advised, the petitioners may pursue the appeal.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--