

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14076 of 2014

=====

1. Mitali Devi wife of Sheo Lal Sao resident of village AND Post Office - Pandui, Police Station Parasbigha, District Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Collector-Cum-District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
5. The District Development Commissioner, Jehanabad.
6. The In-Charge Officer, District Legal Branch, Jehanabad.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jehanabad.
8. The Circle Officer, Jehanabad.
9. Ram Chandra Pandit son of Late Sukan Pandit
10. Ram Ishwar Pandit son of Late Sukan Pandit
11. Sumita Devi wife of Shri Ramakant Thakur.
12. Ramjee Thakur son of Late Sheo Narayan Thakur
13. Pannalal Thakur son of Late Jagan Thakur Respondents nos. 9 to 13 are residents of village AND post office - Pandui, Police Station Paras Bigha, District - Jehanabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyam Kishore Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay, G.A. XII

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 23-03-2015 The petitioner complains that though respondent nos. 9 to 13 have encroached the land of village Pandui, no steps have been taken by the Officials of the Revenue Department for removal of the same.

On 02.03.2015 when the matter was heard notice was taken on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 7. Today, it is

represented that on the allegation of the petitioner that respondent nos. 9 to 13 have encroached upon the government land, notices have been issued for removal of the encroachment and the date of hearing is said to be fixed on 06.04.2015.

Heard Mr. Shyam Kishore Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ajay, learned counsel for the respondents.

The steps taken by the petitioner for removal of the encroachment have yielded result. On taking note of the fact that the writ petition has been filed, step has already been taken and notices have been issued to the encroachers.

Accordingly, respondent nos. 2 to 7 are directed to get the encroachment removed from the land in question, in accordance with law.

This writ application is disposed of with the direction aforesaid. There should be no order as to cost. Interlocutory applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(L. Narasimha Reddy,CJ)

(Vikash Jain, J)

Amin/-

U			
---	--	--	--