

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8766 of 1995

=====

Kapildeo Vishwakarma son of Late Saudagar Vishwakarma, resident of
Mohalla Mithapur, P.S. Jakkanpur, District and Town Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1.The State of Bihar.

2.The Bihar State Electricity Board through its Chairman, Vidyut Bhawan,
Patna.

3.The Electrical Superintending Engineer, Revenue, Electric Supply
Division PESU (West), Patna.

4.The Executive Engineer, Revenue, Electric Supply Division, PESU,
Patna.

5.The Assistant Electrical Engineer (Revenue), Electric Supply Division,
PESU, Gardanibagh, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinu Kumar, Adv, Mr. Shiw Kr
Prabhakar, Adv and Mr. Rajesh Kr Singh,
Adv

For the S.B.P.D.C.L. : Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT

10 30-01-2015 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has initially submitted that when the Superintending Engineer in the impugned order has asked the petitioner to make agreement with retrospective effect, the same has to be essentially held to be bad. This Court has very carefully perused the impugned order and does not find so. As a matter of fact, somehow the petitioner or learned counsel for the petitioner have read the word

‘respectively’ used in the last paragraph as ‘retrospectively’.

In that view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner now does not intend to press this application.

That being so, this application is dismissed as not pressed.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--