

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8217 of 2015

=====

M/s Pareek Power Pvt. Ltd., through its Managing Director, Harshvardhan Pareek, is having registered Office at Main Road, Ranchi, P.S.- Ranchi, District Ranchi-834001, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar State Hydroelectric Power Corporation Ltd. , through the Chairman, 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marge, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Hydroelectric Power Corporation Ltd. , 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marge, Patna.
3. Chief Engineer (Electrical), Bihar State Hydroelectric Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd. , 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marge, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramendra Nath Mukhopadhaya, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Awanish Nandan Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-08-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent Corporation.

2. This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the Respondents to make payment of the pending bills of the petitioner amounting to Rs. 7,14,55,964.00.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that an amount of Rs. 7,14,55,964.00 is outstanding in respect of project works made by the petitioner and for which he has filed representation before the Respondent authorities which is said to be pending.

4. In view of the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with consent, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned Respondent with a fresh

representation, who will examine the matter and take steps for payment of the dues of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. If the amount is not paid to the petitioner within the said period then he would be entitled for simple interest at the rate of 10% per annum to be calculated from the date it became due till the date of its final payment. It is further clarified that if the entire claim of the petitioner is not found admitted then he should be communicated the reasons for reaching such conclusion.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--