

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3419 of 1994

1. Ram Kishore Singh,
2. Raj Kishore Singh,
3. Hari Kishore Singh,
4. Shashi Kishore Singh

All sons of Shri Ramagya Singh, resident of Village- Singhasini, Police Station- Ramgarhwa, District- East Champaran at Motihari.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari
3. The Subdivisional Officer, Raxaul, Sub-Division- Raxaul, District- East Champaran at Motihari
4. The Anchala Adhikari Ramgarhwa, Police Station- Ramgarhwa, District- East Champaran at Motihari

:-----Respondents 1st Set.

5. Jagdish Manjhi,
6. Sudhan Manjhi, both sons of Kanhai Manjhi.
7. Narad Manjhi, son of Satan Manjhi.
8. Satan Manjhi, son of Bal Kanhai Manjhi,
9. Adalat Manjhi, son of Firangi Manjhi.
10. Phuldeo Manjhi, son of Palatu Manjhi,
11. Sahdeo Manjhi
12. Gagan Deo Manjhi, both sons of Palat Manjhi
13. Sitabi Manjhi, son of Harihar Manjhi
14. Jagdeo Manjhi, son of Kar Jhingan Manjhi,
15. Rajdeo Manjhi, son of Kar Jhingan Manjhi,
16. Sital Manjhi, son of Tetar Manjhi
17. Kishori Manjhi, son of Yadu Manjhi
18. Nathuni Manjhi, son of Yadu Manjhi
19. Mosafir Manjhi, son of Ramuna Manjhi
20. Jokhu Manjhu,
21. Ram Lakhan Manjhi, both sons of Yamuna Manjhi
22. Rajbansi Manjhi, son of Sukai Manjhi
23. Eqbal Manjhi, son of Sukai Manjhi
24. Ramsihori Manjhi, son of Sukai Manjhi
25. Dhora Manjhi, son of Thakuri Manjhi
26. Ganhu Manjhi, son of Dhora Manjhi
27. Sukat Manjhi, son of Chingi Manhi,
28. Sukan Manjhi, son of Rameshwar Manjhi
29. Parbhu Manjhi, son of Jokhu Manjhi
30. Dheva Manjhi, son of Sitam Manjhi
31. Nandlal Manjhi, son of Bholu Manjhi
32. Brahamdeo Manjhi, son of Khedu Manjhi,
33. Mahendra Manjhi, son of Maharaj Manjhi,
All residents of Village- Sreh Kauahan, Singhasini, Triveni Tola, Police Station- Ramgarhwa, District- East Champaran at Motihari

.... Respondents 2nd set.

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr.

For the Respondents : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-04-2015

After some argument learned counsel for the petitioners has chosen to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to take appropriate steps to protect his possession over the lands-in-question in accordance with law.

With the liberty aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(V.N. Sinha, J)

P.K.P.

U			
---	--	--	--