

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Second Appeal No.312 of 1986

- =====
1. (a) Munaka Devi, wife of Ameshwar Singh
(b) Urmila Devi, daughter of Ameshwar Singh
2. Janardan Singh
3. Rajeshwar Singh, both sons of Baldhari Mahto @ Baldhari Singh
4. Most. Jirmatia Devi, widow of Deo Bansh Singh,
All residents of Village Ajda, PS Paliganj, District Patna
..... Defendants..... Appellants
- Appellants
- Versus
1. (a) Sri Ram Narain Singh, son of Kesho Prasad Singh
(b) Sri Ranjit Singh, son of Kesho Prasad Singh
(c) Smt. Rama Devi, daughter of Kesho Prasad Singh
Resident of Village Ajda, P.S. Paliganj, District Patna
..... Plaintiff..... Respondent 1st party
2. Damodar Singh, minor son of Amleshwar Singh
3. Parijan Singh, minor son of Janardan Singh, both under guardianship of
Sri Beni Madhava Tiwary, guardian-ad-litem
..... Defendants Respondent 2nd party
4. Smt. Yasoda Devi, wife of Yadu Singh and daughter of Late Baldhai
Singh @ Baldhari Mahto of Village Purnadih, P.S. Nawadah, District
Nawada
5. Smt. Radhika Devi, wife of Ramashish Singh and daughter of Late
Baldhai Singh @ Baldhari Mahto of Village Satnag, P.S. Chandi,
District Nalanda
- Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Naresh Prasad
Mr. Lala Deoki Nandan Prasad
Mr. Ram Anuj Prasad Singh
Mr. Ashok Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Suraj Narayan Yaddav
Mr. Pancha Nand Pandit
Mr. Manish Kumar
Mr. Prithvi Raj Sinha
Mr. Harish Kr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

35 01-09-2015 Nobody has again appeared on behalf of the appellants
when this matter has been called out.

Perused the office note, from which it transpires that this

appeal has stood dismissed for default as against respondent Nos. 2 and 3. The competency of this appeal as against the remaining respondents has been placed for consideration.

From the records, it transpires that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have been impleaded as defendant-respondents. As nobody has appeared to point out that this appeal will survive as against the remaining respondents, it is held that this appeal has become incompetent and is dismissed as such.

(V. Nath, J.)

Snkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--