

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.19429 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -36 Year- 2012 Thana -RAJNAGAR District- MADHUBANI

=====

Deepak Jha @ Deepak Kumar Jha, son of Bhola Jha, Resident of Village -
Haripur (Dih Toll), P.S. - Kaluwahi, District - Madhubani.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amrendra Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

.....

2 16-06-2015 Heard Sri Subhash Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Sri Amrendra Prasad, learned Addl. Public

Prosecutor.

The sole petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Rajnagar P.S. Case no.36/2012, corresponding to G.R. No.397 of 2012, registered for the offence under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 414, 120(B), 34 of the Indian Penal Code and the Trade and Merchantile Marks Act, 1978, has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail only on the ground that in similar circumstances, one of the co-accused, namely, Lalit Yadav @Laliteshwar Yadav has already been granted anticipatory bail on 27.11.2012 vide Cr.Misc.No.32355 of 2012.

In this case, on perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the

accused were indulged in preparing spurious cement in the Brand name of ACC cement . F.I.R. was lodged in the year 2012 and in the F.I.R., the petitioner's name has been mentioned as one of the accused. Of course, one of the accused has been granted anticipatory bail but the same was granted in the year 2012 itself. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was rejected in the month of December, 2013 and after a long time, the petitioner has approached this Court in the month of April,2015 for grant of anticipatory bail.

In view of nature of accusation, I do not find any ground to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--