

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18477 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1025 Year- 2012 Thana -COMPLAINT CASE District- SUPAUL

=====

Rama Kumar @ Ram Kumar @ Ram Kumar Yadav, Son of Jagdish Yadav, resident of Village- Sukhasan, Police Station- Kisanpur, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Chandrika Devi, Wide of Ram Kumar @ Ram Kumar Yadav, Daughter of Yogendra Yadav, resident of Village- Chilhwa (Sukhasan), Police Station- Kisanpur, District- Supaul.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kr.Panday(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1025C of 2012 registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

The accusation is of torturing of complainant, Chandrika Devi, by her husband and in-laws for non-fulfillment of demand of motorcycle and cash of Rs.1,000,00/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant (opposite party no.2) and is ready to keep the complainant with full dignity and

honour.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner be released on provisional bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Supaul, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1025C of 2012, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Learned trial court is directed to issue notice to the complainant (opposite party no. 2) and make attempt to resolve the dispute in between the petitioner and the complainant (opposite party no.2) by taking all possible efforts and if the dispute is resolved then confirm the provisional bail of the petitioner. If the dispute is not resolved then the trial court will pass the order on its own merit .

Accordingly, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--