

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.18712 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -390 Year- 2014 Thana -VAISHALI District- VAISHALI (HAJIPUR)

1. Naresh Ram, Son of Bhola Ram.

2. Mina Devi, wife of Naresh Ram.

Both are resident of village - Vaishali, P.S.- Vaishali, District - Vaishali.

.... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 390 of 2014 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467 and 468 of
the Indian Penal Code.

It is contended that there is no truth behind the
allegation made in the FIR. As a matter of fact, the informant
has asked the petitioner no. 1 to arrange for the employment
and after meeting with the authority of the institution on
06.06.2012 and 18.06.2013 respectively the said institution




issued appointment letters to the two sons of informant and they started teaching. After teaching for 3-4 months the petitioners and other did not get their salary. On query, the petitioner no. 1 learnt that the institution which has issued appointment letter is forged and fictitious institution and accordingly, the petitioner no. 1 wrote a letter to the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali and also filed an informatory petition vide informatory petition No. 65 of 2014 before the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. He has submitted that according to the prosecution case, the petitioners who are husband and wife came to the informant and stated that petitioner no. 1 has got employment as a teacher in the Sanskriti Bal Shiksha Pariyojana and he would be getting Rs. 4,000/- per month as salary. The petitioner no. 1 has also stated that he has got employment by spending money and if the informant's son and his brother want employment they have to spent Rs. 60,000/-.

Be that as it may, regard being had to the to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks, petitioners above named are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on

furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 390 of 2014 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.



(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--