

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19443 of 2014

- =====
1. Durganand Rai, son of Late Bhairo Rai.
 2. Kulanand Rai, son of Late Bhairo Rai.
 3. Suresh Rai, son of Late Bhairo Rai.
 4. Mostt. Ranjan Devi, widow of Late Sadanand Rai (Grand son of Late Bhairo Rai).
 5. Kundan Rai, son of Late Sadanand Rai (Grand son of Late Bhairo Rai).
 6. Satyadeo Rai, son of Late Chamak Lal Rai
 7. Umesh Rai, son of Late Basudeo Rai (son of Raj Kumar Rai).
 8. Naresh Rai, son of Late Basudeo Rai (son of Raj Kumar Rai).
- All are residents of village Sirsiya Hanumanganj, Police Station Bhargama, Post Office- Mahthawa Bazar, District- Araria.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
3. The Additional Collector, Supaul.
4. The L.R.D.C., Birpur now Triveniganj, District- Supaul.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Chhatapur, Post Office and Police Station- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.
6. Ram Chandra Rai, son of Late Jadu Lal Rai.
7. Sanjay Kumar Rai, son of Late Hari Lal Rai (Grand son of Late Jadu Lal Rai).
8. Bidur Rai, son of Late Hari Lal Rai (Grand son of Late Jadu Lal Rai).
9. Pawan Rai, son of Late Hari Lal Rai (Grandson of Late Jadu Lal Rai).
10. Vikash Rai, son of Late Hari Lal Rai (Grandson of Late Jadu Lal Rai).
11. Smt. Amla Devi, widow of Late Hari Lal Rai.
No.6 to 11 are residents of village Sirsiya, Hanumanganj, Police Station- Bhargama, Post Office- Mahthawa Bazar, District- Araria.
12. Kishori Devi, wife of Trilok Narain Mallik, resident of village- Sirsia Hanumanganj, Police Station- Bhargama, Post Office- Mahthawa Bazar, District- Araria.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Prithwi Raj Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Atal Bihari Pandey, A.C. to G.P.22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-01-2015 After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw this application to approach the Bihar Land Tribunal for the purpose of assailing the impugned order passed by the Ceiling Authority.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed as

withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty granted to the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that if such application is filed by the petitioners within a period of sixty days along with a petition for condoning the delay, let the same be disposed of on its own merit in accordance with law and also considering the fact that the petitioners had approached this Court by filing this writ application and also on earlier occasion by filing C.W.J.C. No.11564 of 2006.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

N.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--