

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.14627 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1451 Year- 2013 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

1. Dipak Kumar Son of Triloki Nath Verma Resident of Village- Haraji
P.s- Awatar Nagar District- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sukriti Srivastva wife of Dipak kumar , Daughter of Pyare Mohan
Mehata Resident of M.I.G. of 99, p.s Kankarag, District- Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arabind Nath Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anita Kumari(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR
SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2015 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner being the husband of the complainant is apprehending arrest in a complaint case in which processes were directed to be issued after cognizance being taken under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The basic accusation is of torture for non fulfillment of dowry demands.

On instructions, it is submitted that the petitioner is ready to keep the complainant as wife with full dignity and honour. Statement to the aforesaid effect has been made in

paragraph no. 13 of the petition.

Considering the present stand of the petitioner, let the above named petitioner be released on provisional anticipatory bail for one year in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 1451C of 2013 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let the learned court below issue notice to the complainant and on her appearance the petitioner will take the complainant to keep her as wife with full dignity and honour.

The provisional bail of the petitioner will be confirmed by the learned court below in three eventualities – (i) if the matrimonial harmony is substantially restored or (ii) if the complainant fails to appear before the learned court below or (iii) if the complainant deliberately gets reluctant to reconcile the issue.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Anil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--