

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2835 of 2015

=====

1. Savita Sahay Widow of Late Madan Sahay R/o Budha Marg, Opposite Museum
P.S. Kotwali, District Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Nalanda
3. Additional Collector cum Additional District magistrate, Nalanda
4. Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Rajgir, District Nalanda
5. Anchal Adhikari, Rajgir, District Nalanda

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Prasad Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Satya Deo Kumar, SC-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-02-2015

Heard the parties.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 13.11.2014 passed by the Additional Collector in Mutation revision case no. 21 of 2013-14. After coming into force of the Bihar Land Tribunal Act, 2009 (for short 'the Tribunal') any party aggrieved by the revisional order can invoke the jurisdiction of the Tribunal. The petitioner has, therefore, a statutory remedy against the impugned order.

Considering the aforesaid, this Court is not inclined to invoke its extraordinary and discretionary writ jurisdiction. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall invoke the jurisdiction of the Tribunal after seeking condonation of delay on the

ground that she has been pursuing bonafidely her remedy before this Court.

The writ application is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Shyam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

