

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.216 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -08 Year- 2014 Thana -Phulwarisharif District- PATNA

=====

1. Masomat Kaili Devi Wife Of Late Controll Manjhi
2. Ram Deo Das Son Of Late Raghu Das
3. Jai Prasad Paswan Son Of Late Jagdish Paswan
4. Hari Nandan Paswan Son Of Late Vimal Paswan All Resident Of Village-Lahiyar Chak, P.S.-Phulwarisharif, District-Patna. At Present Resident Of Village-Gonpura Mushahri, Pokhar Per, Mahadalit Tola, P.S.-Phulwarisharif, District-Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Chairman Bihar State Electricity Board
3. Indrajeet Kumar, Aee/Psr Bseb, Phulwarisharif Branch, Patna Son Of Late Janki Prasad Permanent Resident Of Village-Tungi, P.S.-Deepnagar, District-Nalanda
4. Pramod Kumar Nirala, Jee/Pse Bseb, Phulwarisharif Branch, Patna Son Of Shri Yedunandan Sharma Permanent Of Village-Sinduar, P.S.-Mohanpur, District-Patna
5. Tarkeshwar Kumar, Jlm/Psr Bseb, Phulwarisharif Branch, Patna Son Of Shri Saryug Prasad Permanent Resident Of Village-Bhusaila Danapur, P.S.-Phulwarisharif, District-Patna
6. Manikant Kumar, Staff Bseb, Phulwarisharif Branch, Patna Son Of Shivshankar Prasad Permanent Of Village-Varuna, P.S.-Naubatpur, District-Patna
7. Sanjay Kumar Verma, Staff Bseb, Phulwarisharif Branch, Patna Son Of Vimal Prasad Permanent Resident Of Village-Varuna, P.S.-Naubatpur, District-Patna
8. The Superintendent Of Police (Rural), District-Patna
9. The Police Inspector, Phulwarisharif Police Station, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-01-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner nos.2 to 4 have been made named accused in
Phulwarisharif P.S.Case No. 8 of 2014 dated 3rd January, 2014
registered for the offence punishable under section 135 of the

Electricity Act, 2003. By filing the present application under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of the aforesaid F.I.R.

The allegations made in the F.I.R. do constitute a cognizable offence.

In that view of the matter, I find no merit in the present application. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Pradeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--