

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3324 of 2015

=====

Lakshman Jha, son of late Rameshwar Jha, resident of village and post office-
Prem Nagar, Police Station- Dumra, District- Sitamarhi Petitioner
Versus

1. Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna through its Administrator
 2. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna
 3. The Administration-in-Chief, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna
 4. The Chief of Operation-cum-Audit Incharge, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna
 5. The Controller, Store Purchase, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marge, Patna
 6. The Special Officer (Departmental proceedings), Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Parivahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna
 7. The Divisional Manager, Bihar State Road Corporation, Muzaffarpur.
 8. The Director, Vigilance and Security, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Muzaffarpur
 9. The Chief Accounts Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Muzaffarpur
 10. The Divisional Manager, Bihar Road Transport Corporation, Muzaffarpur
 11. The Divisional Accounts Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Muzaffarpur
 12. The Depo Superintendent, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Sitamarhi Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajendra Lal Das,
Mr. Yogendra Prasad, Advocates

For the BSRTC : Mr. P.K. Verma, Sr. Advocate,
Mr. Jainendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

3 28-07-2015 In view of the averments made in the counter affidavit that the entire amount which has been deducted /withheld from the retiral dues has now been paid back to the petitioner and, thereafter, nothing is due and since no rejoinder to the counter affidavit has been filed, this writ application stands disposed of.

So far relief mentioned in paragraph no.1(b) is

concerned, the petitioner would be entitled for the same as and when similarly situated persons would be granted such benefit in view of submission made by Mr. Verma that none has received such benefits as yet.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Sanjay-II/-

U			
---	--	--	--