

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.668 of 2014

IN

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3822 of 2011

- =====
1. Mahanand Kumar, Son of Sri Jageshwar Singh, Resident of Village- Churaman Bigha, P.S- Chanan, District- Lakhisarai.
 2. Mantu Kumar Yadav, Son of Sri Tarani Yadav, Resident of Village- Natthudih, P.S- Chanan District- Lakhisarai
 3. Vijay Kumar, Son of Sri Tarani Yadav. Resident of Village- Natthudih, P.S- Chanan, District- Lakhisarai.
 4. Ranik Yadav, Son of Sri Rajo Yadav, Resident of Village - Basmatiya, P.S- Chanan, District- Lakhisarai.
 5. Subodh Kumar, Son of Sri Raj Kishore Yadav, Resident of Village - Durdih, P.S- Halsi, District- Lakhisarai
 6. Satish Kumar, Son of Kamo Yadav. Resident of Village- Basmatiya, P.S- Chanan, District - Lakhisarai.
 7. Bhagirath Rai, Son of Sri Saryug Prasad Ravat, Resident of Village - Churaman Bigha, P.S.- Chanan, District- Lakhisarai.
 8. Gita Prasad, Son of Sri Tunha Yadav, Resident of Village- Basmatiya, P.S- Chanan, District- Lakhisarai
 9. Nukehs Kumar, Son of Sri Bishundeo Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village - Churaman Bigha, P.S- Chanan, District - Lakhisarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Szad Shekher, Superintendent of Police, Lakhisarai.
3. Sri Babu Raj, Superintendent of Police, Sheikhpura
4. Sri K.S. Anupam, Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur
5. Sri Opendra Sharma, Superintendent of Police, Jamui
6. Sri C. Kumar, Superintendent of Police, Jamui
7. Sri Manu Maharaj, Superintendent of Police, Jamui
8. Sri Vinay Kumar, Superintendent of Police, Jamui
9. Sri M.R. Nayak, Superintendent of Police, Jamui

.... Opp. Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Azhar Kareem, Advocate

For the Opp. Party/s : Mr. S.K.Sharma, G.A.-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-02-2015

Learned counsel for the State has filed show cause,
which is taken on record.

2. It is jointly submitted by the counsel for the

petitioner Sri Azhar Kareem and the counsel for the State, AC to GA-1 that the present contempt petition be disposed of exactly in the same terms, which is contained in order dated 21.10.2014, passed in M.J.C. No. 871 of 2012 and other analogous matters.

3. In the light of the aforesaid submission, contempt petition is dismissed.

(V.N. Sinha, J.)

Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--