

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3695 of 2015

=====

Reeta Kumari, daughter of Late Rajendra Chaudhary, resident of Mohalla Naveen Nagar, Town, Post & P.S. Nawada, District Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Building Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
3. The District Compassionate Committee, Nawada through its Chairman, the District Magistrate, Nawada
4. The Additional Collector, Departmental Inquiry cum Senior In-charge Officer, District Establishment, Nawada
5. The Chief Engineer, Department of Building Construction, Patna
6. The Superintending Engineer, Building Division, Nawada
7. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, Nawada

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP16- RAJESH SINGH

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-03-2015

A K Tripathi, J.

Prayer of the petitioner for compassionate appointment was earlier rejected because there was no policy for grant of benefit of compassionate appointment to divorced daughters. Then on the basis of a decree of divorce a second round application was filed.

2. Death of the father of the petitioner had happened in the year 2004. It is too late in the day after more than a decade to extend benefit of compassionate appointment. The object and purpose has already been lost due to passage of time. Reason for rejection a second time over is based on valid ground, which is said to be

limitation.

3. Writ application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

R.K.Pathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--