

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6514 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -358 Year- 2013 Thana -MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE District-
MADHEPURA

=====

1. Chhotu Malakar Son of Chamaklal Malakar, Resident of Villae - Thatha,
Police Station - Mansi, District - Khagaria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nandsingh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rina Sinha(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2015 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner being the husband of the complainant is apprehending arrest in a complaint case in which process has been directed to be issued after cognizance being taken for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 341, 379, 504 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The accusation is of torture for non-fulfilment of the dowry demand.

On instruction, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, no statement has been made in the petition but the petitioner is ready to keep the complainant as wife with full dignity and honour.

Considering the present stand of the petitioner, let the

above named petitioner be released on provisional anticipatory bail for one year in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura in connection with Complaint Case No. 358 of 2013, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let the learned court below issue notice to the complainant for her appearance. On her appearance, the petitioner will take the complainant to keep her as wife with full dignity and honour.

The provisional bail of the petitioner will be confirmed by learned court below in three eventualities (i) if the matrimonial harmony is substantially restored (ii) if the complainant fails to appear before the learned court below or (iii) if the complainant gets reluctant to reconcile the issue.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Amrendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--