

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 55335 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -241 Year- 2014 Thana -GAURICHAK District- PATNA

=====

Ravi Sahni S/o Rajkumar Kewat, R/o Manpur Bariya, P.S. Gopalpur, Distt. Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar-II(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 18-12-2015 Heard Sri Nityanand Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The petitioner, who is in custody since 09-01-2015 in Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 241 of 2014 (now Sessions Trial No. 705 of 2015) registered for the offence under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed for grant of bail.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that F.I.R. was lodged against unknown, however; during investigation, one of the accused persons namely Udai Chaudhary was apprehended and it has been alleged that accused Udai Chaudhary made disclosure showing involvement of the petitioner and thereafter, the petitioner has been made accused in the present case. By way of referring to **Annexure – 2** to the petition i.e. order dated 27-05-2015 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12287 of 2015,

learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused Udai Chaudhary, who confessed and disclosed the name of petitioner, has already been granted bail.

Keeping in view the fact that F.I.R. was lodged against unknown as well as the fact that the accused, who disclosed the name of petitioner, has already been granted bail, there is no reason to deny the prayer of the petitioner.

Let the petitioner namely Ravi Sahni be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of **Rs. 10,000/-** (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J.-(VI), Patna City, Distt. - Patna/concerned court in connection with Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 241 of 2014 (Now S.Tr. No. 705 of 2015) with condition that that one of the bailor must be blood relation of the petitioner and secondly, on each and every date the petitioner shall remain physically present during trial before the trial court. If continuously on two dates, the petitioner remains absent without prior permission of the trial court, his bail-bond shall stand automatically cancelled.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--