

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26070 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -250 Year- 2014 Thana -BAHERI District- DARBHANGA

=====

Arun Sharma, S/o Umesh Sharma, Resident of Village - Baligaon, P.S.
Baheri, District - Darbhanga

... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kr.Virendra Narayan(APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is in custody in connection with Baheri
P.S. Case No.250 of 2014 registered under Sections 304B/34 of
the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Chief Judicial
Magistrate, Darbhanga.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that the marriage of
the informant-Bind Devi was solemnized with the petitioner 5-6
years ago according to Hindu rites and she lived peacefully upto
three years and gave birth to one boy out of their wedlock. It is
alleged that thereafter the petitioner and other in-laws began to
demand cash of Rs.1 lac and a motorcycle and due to non-
fulfilment of the aforesaid demand, she was being tortured in
various ways. It is further alleged that on 31.10.2014 the
informant was informed that her daughter has committed

suicide. The informant claimed that the petitioner and other co-accused have killed her daughter due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

On behalf of the petitioner, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 10.3.2015 and charge sheet has been submitted in the case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the case is one of Section 304 B of the I.P.C.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The same is, accordingly, rejected.

However, the trial court is directed to take all necessary steps to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of nine months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--