

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22355 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -132 Year- 2014 Thana -PARASBIGHA District- JEHANABAD

Dharmendra Yadav Son of Late Lakhan Yadav Resident of village - Amain
Mathiya, P.S. Parasbigha, District - Jehanabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kr. Singh No.1 (App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-09-2015

Heard both sides

The petitioner seeks bail in a case under Section 302
and other sections of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleged that his daughter was married
with the petitioner in the year 2004 but his daughter called him on
01.11.2014 at 7:00 hours in the morning and stated that she was
gong to commit suicide as she had been subjected to torture by the
petitioner and others. On such information, when the informant
went there, he saw the dead body of his daughter in a paddy field.
It is submitted that the wife of the petitioner committed suicide but
when the informant and others came, the petitioner left his wife
and fled away. The police, after investigation, submitted
chargesheet under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code.

It appears that the dead body was found in the paddy

field and froth was coming out of the mouth of the deceased. The post-mortem was held but the doctor has not given a definite finding about the cause of death of the deceased. Viscera were kept reserved and the report is still awaited. The petitioner is the husband and there is specific allegation that he was continuously torturing his wife and the dead body of the deceased was found in the paddy field.

Having considered the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Parasbigaha P.S. Case No. 132 of 2014, G.R. No. 2811/2014. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial holding the same on day-to-day basis and conclude the same within nine months from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order. If the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid stipulated period, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Dilip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--