

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2629 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -279 Year- 2013 Thana -CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- SARAN

Jai Prakash Singh son of Late Jehan Singh, resident of Village - Mehiya,
P.S. Chapra Muffasil, District - Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.6191 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -279 Year- 2013 Thana -CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- SARAN

Jainath Singh son of Late Banshidhar Singh, resident of Village - Mehiya,
P.S. Chapra Muffasil, District - Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.2629 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey(App)

(In Cr.Misc. No.6191 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Renu Kumari(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 04-03-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Both the applications are being disposed of by a
common order, as in these cases petitioners are seeking bail in
connection with Chapra Mufassil P.S. Case No. 279 of 2013
registered for offences under sections 147, 148, 149, 448, 341,

323, 324, 307 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

According to the informant who lodged an F.I.R. making allegation that Jainath Singh caught hold him and Jai Prakash Singh, Rana Singh and Banti Singh caused injury in his abdomen and chest.

There is an allegation against Jainath Singh to have caught hold the victim and there is no other allegation has been made against him.

Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, Jainath Singh, be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran, Chapra in connection with Chapra Mufassil P.S. Case No. 279 of 2013, subject to the condition that (i) one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner (ii) if the petitioner is found involved in future in similar type of case, the prosecution will be at liberty to make prayer for cancellation of his bail and the court below will pass necessary order, including cancellation of bail and (iii) the petitioner would cooperate the proceeding of the court below. In event of failure to appear on two consecutive dates, the court below will be at liberty to pass the order, including cancellation of bail bonds.

So far Jai Prakash Singh is concerned, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to him. Accordingly, Cr. Misc. No. 2629 of 2015 is rejected. However, liberty is given to him, he may renew his prayer for bail after nine months of jail-custody.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--