



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.47070 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -206 Year- 2014 Thana -ISLAMPUR District- NALANDA (BIHARSHARIFF)
=====

Ramesh Manjhi & Ors		Petitioners
	Versus	
The State of Bihar		Opposite Party

=====

With
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1647 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -206 Year- 2014 Thana -ISLAMPUR District- NALANDA (BIHARSHARIFF)
=====

Ramesh Manjhi & Ors		Petitioners
	Versus	
The State of Bihar		Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :
(In Cr.Misc. No.47070 of 2014)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar (APP)
(In Cr.Misc. No.1647 of 2015)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey (APP)
=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6. 08-07-2015 Seen the report submitted by Registrar

General and perused the order dated 04.03.2015 passed

by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 47070/2014.

Heard Sri Akhileshwar Prasad Singh,

learned Senior Counsel, who appears and submits that a

Lawyer acts on instruction of the client and, if wrong

instructions are given, it is difficult for a Lawyer to

ascertain the truth. The mistake, if any, on the part of

- 2 -

the Lawyer could at best be of not taking due care, but, no criminality or misdemeanor can be attributed. This is the responsibility of his client or Pairvikar who are supposed to be knowing the facts and who are supposed to disclose the truth.

Having considered the matter, so far as involvement of Advocate-on-Record and the Advocate Clerk of Cr. Misc. No. 1647/2015 is concerned, under the aforesaid circumstances it does not call for any action. The rest of the report is accepted. Let the matter be proceeded accordingly.

Let the report of Registrar General be deemed to be part of the record of this case.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--