

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1112 of 1993

- =====
1. Harkesh Rai @ Haresh Rai
 2. Sharda Rai
 3. Ramashankar Rai sons of Late Suryabali Rai
All resident of village and. Narahawa Shukul, P.S. Gopalpur, District-
Gopalganj .

....

Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The Collector, Gopalganj
 3. The Additional Collector, Gopalganj
 4. The S.D.O., Gopalganj
 5. The Circle Officer, Kuchaikot, PO. & P.s. Kuchikot, District- Gopalganj.
- Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashutosh Jha, Advocate with
MR. Basant Kumar Singh -1
For the State : Shri Rakesh Kumar Sharma, A.C.G.P. 27
For the intervenors : Shri Keshav Srivastava, Sr. Advocate with
Shri Bhubneshwar, Advocate
Shri V.K. Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

11 07-04-2015 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties fairly agree that the present writ application involves disputed questions of facts which cannot be adjudicated upon in a writ application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

In view of above, this writ application stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to approach a Civil Court of competent jurisdiction for adjudication.

It goes without saying that the petitioners' claim of their possession or title over the property in question, shall depend upon the outcome of the proceeding before such court.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

ahk/-

U			
---	--	--	--