

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44526-2014**

**Date of Decision: December 30, 2014**

Jaswinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**

Present: Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmel Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURMIT RAM, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Mr. Gurmel Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5 and Ms. Rajwant Kaur, who has not been impleaded as respondent in the memo of parties.

Mother of petitioner No.1 i.e. (respondent No.5) has stated that she has no grouse with the marriage of the petitioners nor they will cause harm to them of any kind.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age, petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Unique Identification Authority of India issued by the Government of India (Annexure P-1) wherein her year of birth is mentioned as 1992, whereas petitioner

date of birth as 17.12.1990. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to take appropriate action on the said representation.

This order has nothing to do with regard to validity or invalidity of the marriage of the petitioners.

**December 30, 2014**  
*Gaurav Sorot*

**[ GURMIT RAM ]**  
**JUDGE**