

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE  
&  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

FRIDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2014/5TH POUSHA, 1936

WA.No. 2013 of 2014 () IN RP.1052/2014  
-----

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN RP 1052/2014 IN W.P(C) .NO.32425/20014  
of HIGH COURT OF KERALA

APPELLANT(S) :  
-----

DR.P.P.BHASKARAN, S/O.KELAN,  
RESIDING AT 'MAMATHA',  
HIGHWAY JUNCTION, P.O.VALAPPANAM, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.N.DHARMADAN (SR.)

RESPONDENT(S) :  
-----

1. M.V.KANNAN, SECRETARY,  
PAPPINISSERY VISHA CHIKILSA SOCIETY,  
RESIDING AT 'JYOTHIS', THAVAKKARA,  
KANNUR-670 561.

2. M.V.RAJESH, S/O.M.V.RAGHAVAN,  
AGED 43, MELETH VEEDU,  
BURNASSERI, CANTONMENT-P.O., KANUR-670 001.

3. M.V. GIRISHKUMAR,  
PRESIDENT  
PAPPINISSERY PANCHAYATH VISHA CHIKILSA SOCIETY  
JYOTHIS, THAVAKKARA, KANNUR - 670 561.

4. K.K. NANU  
SECRETARY  
PAPPINISSERY PANCHAYATH VISHA CHIKILSA SOCIETY  
SREENAS, PUTHIYAKAVU, PAPPINISSERY WEST P.O.  
KANNUR - 670561.

5. THE VICE CHANCELLOR  
KERALA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SERVICES  
MEDICAL COLLEGE P.O., THRISSUR - 680 596.

R5 BY ADV. SRI.P.SREEKUMAR,SC,KERALA UTY.HEALTH &  
BY SRI.P.SATHISAN

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
26-12-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**A.M.SHAFIQUE & K.HARILAL, JJ**

-----  
**W.A.No.2013 of 2014**  
-----

**Dated this the 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2014**

**JUDGMENT**

**Shaffique, J.**

This appeal has been filed against the order dated 20.12.2014 in R.P.No.1052 of 2014 in W.P(C).No.32425 of 2014. The judgment was passed by the learned Single Judge in the Writ Petition on 3.12.2014. In the Writ Petition a review petition came to be filed by certain third parties. The learned Single Judge passed the order impugned directing that all orders passed pursuant to the judgment dated 3.12.2014 shall stand suspended without any consequences flowing therefrom.

2. The learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that though the learned Single Judge could have entertained the Review Petition, the observations in paragraphs 2 to 4 of the order was not warranted.

3. Apparently, it is only an interim order passed and the findings thereon are only for the purpose of the interim order, which cannot have any bearing while adjudicating the matter on merits. Under such circumstances, we do not think that the apprehension expressed by the appellant is justified. The order passed is only of interim nature and can force only until final orders are passed in the Review Petition.

Under such circumstances, there is no reason to interfere with the order impugned. Accordingly, we close the Writ Appeal.

**A.M.SHAFIQUE, JUDGE**

**K.HARILAL, JUDGE**

vgs26/12/14