

⑨
+

C.P. 401-

Single Bench
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, (C.G.)
FAM NO. 106 OF 2014

IN THE MATTER OF:-

APPELLANTS:
REVISIONERS

(Appellant no. 1 in Jail)


P.R.No. 106/14
Presented by Shri P.K. Shrivastava
dated 16/10/14

1. Sunil Giri, S/o Shri Chandrika Giri, Aged about 36 years, Resident of House No. 224, Indra Nagar, Jamnipali, P.S. Darri, Tahsil – Katghora, District – Korba, Chhattisgarh.
2. Anil Giri, S/o Shri Chandrika Giri, Aged about 34 years,
3. Asha Giri, W/o Anil Giri, Aged about 32 years,
4. Chandrika Giri, S/o Late Balram Giri, Aged about 67 years,
5. Smt. Kanta Giri, W/o Chandrika Giri, Aged about 62 years,

All appellant 2 to 5 are Resident of House No. 224, Indra Nagar, Jamnipali, P.S. Darri, Tahsil – Katghora, District – Korba, Chhattisgarh.

6. Pradeep Giri, S/o Sachidanand Giri, Aged about 40 years,
7. Smt. Seema Devi, W/o Pradeep Giri, Aged about 37 years,

Both appellant no. 6 and 7 are resident of through House of R.P.Singh, Indra Nagar, Jamnipali, P.S. – Darri, Tahsil – Katghora, District – Korba, Chhattisgarh.



Versus

RESPONDENTS:

1. Smt. Lilawati giri, W/o Sunil Giri, Aged about 33 years,
2. Ku. Jyoti Giri, D/o Sunil Giri, Aged about 15 years,
3. Abhijeet Giri/Sunil Giri,

[Handwritten signature]

6
2

		Aged about 13 years, 4. Ku. Arti Giri, Sunil Giri, Aged about 11 years, Respondent no 2 to 4 minor respondent no. 1 i.e. mother Smt. Lilawati giri, W/o Sunil Giri. <u>Adhyans</u> 5. The District Collector, <u>2009</u> Korba, District - Korba, Chhattisgarh.
--	--	---

FIRST APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (1) OF THE FAMILIES ACT

23/12/14

18

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

**HON'BLE MR.T.P. SHARMA &
HON'BLE MR. I.S. UBOWEJA, JJ**

F.A.(M) No. 106 of 2014

Appellants

Sunil Giri and others.

versus

Respondents

Smt. Lilawati Giri and others.

Appeal under Section 19 (1) of the Family Court Act

Appearance:

Mr. Ravi Kumar Bhagat, counsel for the appellants.
None for respondents No. 1 to 4.
Mrs. Shobha Kashyap, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the
State/respondent No.5.

ORDER
(22.12.2014)

Heard.

1. Registry has pointed out default.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants seeks to withdraw this appeal reserving liberty to file appropriate petition.
3. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn reserving aforesaid liberty to the appellants.
4. Certified copy of the impugned order be returned to the counsel for the appellant after submitting photostat copy of the same. No order asto costs.

**Sd/-
T.P. Sharma
Judge**

**Sd/-
Inder Singh Uboweja
Judge**

Vijay